Cirrus Cloud Seeding has Potential to Cool Climate
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Cirrus clouds, thin ice clouds in the upper troposphere, have a net warming effect on Earth’s climate. Consequently, a reduction in cirrus cloud amount or optical thickness would cool the climate. Recent research indicates that by seeding cirrus clouds with particles that promote ice nucleation, their lifetimes and coverage could be reduced. We have tested this hypothesis in a global climate model with a state-of-the-art representation of cirrus clouds, and find that cirrus cloud seeding has the potential to cancel the entire warming caused by human activity from pre-industrial times to present day. However, the desired effect is only obtained for seeding particle concentrations that lie within an optimal range. With lower than optimal particle concentrations a seeding exercise would have no effect. Moreover, a higher than optimal concentration results in an over-seeding that could have the deleterious effect of prolonging cirrus lifetime and contributing to global warming.
1. Introduction

With the realization that Earth’s climate is changing at a rapid pace, a number of mechanisms through which climate could artificially be stabilized have been proposed in the literature. Climate sensitivity, defined as the equilibrium surface temperature response to a doubling of atmospheric CO₂, is poorly constrained, and very high climate sensitivities cannot currently be ruled out [Roe and Baker, 2007]. This, combined with what seems to be a difficult prospect of curbing anthropogenic CO₂ emissions [Davis et al., 2010], the main cause of modern climate change, has led many to propose climate engineering as a cooling mechanism [Keith, 2001; Boyd, 2008]. Carbon capturing and sequestration is one example of climate engineering that would directly target the problem of rising atmospheric CO₂ concentrations [Metz et al., 2005]. Another class of climate engineering proposals is often termed solar radiation management (SRM), because rather than reducing Earth’s greenhouse effect, their purpose is to increase Earth’s albedo/reflectivity. SRM strategies include stratospheric sulphur injection, mimicking volcanic eruptions [Crutzen, 2006], and enhancement of marine stratocumulus cloud albedo via sea salt injection [Latham, 1990]. Both mechanisms have been the focus of many recent studies [Rasch et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2011], and several complications have been identified. Examples are changes to the local and regional hydrological cycles [Ricke et al., 2010], as well as stratospheric ozone depletion in the case of stratospheric sulphur injection [Tilmes et al., 2008]. Here we address a climate engineering mechanism that has so far not been tested; the perturbation of cirrus clouds to reduce their lifetime and optical thickness, thereby cooling Earth’s climate. This idea was first put forth by Mitchell...
and Finnegan [2009], and builds on the fact that spontaneous freezing of liquid solution droplets requires high water vapor partial pressures that well exceed that of saturation with respect to a plane ice surface (i.e. supersaturation, $S_i$). Spontaneous freezing of droplets is a stochastic process that is referred to as homogeneous nucleation [Koop et al., 2000]. The homogeneous nucleation rate decreases with increasing temperature ($T$), and for $T$ higher than about -35°C, homogeneous ice nucleation does not occur in the atmosphere [Pruppacher and Klett, 1997]. The presence of a substrate to facilitate the formation of tiny ice crystals can significantly lower the supersaturation required for ice formation, a process known as heterogeneous ice nucleation. Certain insoluble particles can provide such substrates in the atmosphere, and are termed ice nuclei (IN). Examples of natural IN are mineral dust particles, as well as certain primary biological particles [Pruppacher and Klett, 1997]. Bismuth tri-iodide (BiI3) is an example of an artificial IN, and has been suggested as cirrus seeding material [Mitchell and Finnegan, 2009]. It has been suggested that BiI3 can initiate freezing at a supersaturation as low as 5%, while homogeneous ice nucleation requires a supersaturation of the order of 50% at typical cirrus temperatures. Due to the low concentration of IN in the upper troposphere (UT), homogeneous freezing is thought to dominate cirrus cloud formation [Kaercher and Lohmann, 2003; Mitchell et al., 2011]. Hence, the addition of very efficient IN in the right concentration may result in fewer, larger ice crystals. The heterogeneously formed ice crystals would deplete water vapor as they grow, and prevent the supersaturations required for the onset of homogeneous freezing. Larger ice crystals would reduce cirrus optical thickness and shorten cloud lifetimes through increased ice crystal sedimentation velocities. Both
mechanisms would yield a smaller greenhouse effect (Figure 1). Mitchell and Finnegan [2009] proposed that the seeding material could be injected at cirrus levels by commercial aircraft. A background concentration of seeding material would build up, and cirrus clouds would form in an environment sufficiently enriched in IN for homogeneous freezing to be suppressed. Here we have tested the effect of background concentrations of seeding IN spanning several orders of magnitude in numerical simulations using a modified version of the NCAR Community Atmosphere Model (CAM, version 5).

2. Modeling tool and experimental setup

CAM5 was for the purpose of this study run at a horizontal resolution of 1.9°latitude and 2.5°longitude, with 30 vertical levels, a finite volume dynamical core and a timestep of 20 minutes. All simulations were conducted with climatological sea surface temperatures corresponding to the year 2000. CAM5’s predecessor is described in Gent et al. [2011], but its cloud microphysics has since been updated [Gettelman et al., 2008, 2010] and it also has a recently developed modal aerosol treatment [Liu et al., 2012]. The aerosol size distribution can now be represented by either three or seven lognormal modes (MAM3 and MAM7, respectively). The treatment of cirrus cloud microphysics in CAM5 has also been significantly improved relative to earlier versions [Liu et al., 2007], but was in this study partly replaced by an alternative and more flexible cirrus scheme, developed by Barahona and Nenes [2008, 2009]. The scheme is based on an analytical solution of the governing equations of a cooling air parcel. It explicitly accounts for the effect of cloud formation conditions and aerosol properties on the cirrus ice crystal concentration. Competition between homogeneous and heterogeneous ice nucleation, hence the influence of
ice nuclei on ice crystal concentration, is also accounted for. Heterogeneous ice nucleation is described through a generalized ice nucleation spectrum, which can have any functional form, providing flexibility in describing ice nucleation on different IN. While the CAM5 cirrus scheme has already been carefully validated, particularly in terms of global cloud and radiation fields [Liu et al., 2012; Gettelman et al., 2008, 2010], we show in Table 1 global averages of some key cloud and radiation fields for the standard CAM5, the modified CAM5 used in this study, as well as from observations. Both model versions were run with homogeneous nucleation only, for temperatures below -38°C. The introduction of the new cirrus scheme does not dramatically change the cloud and radiation fields. However, it does produce more ice crystals at cirrus levels, which leads to optically thicker and longer-lived cirrus clouds, hence the slightly larger ice and liquid water paths (the latter due to reduced accretion of liquid by falling ice crystals). Cirrus ice crystals concentrations lie in the range 10-1000 l⁻¹, with a global annual average at 200 hPa of ~400 l⁻¹. This is somewhat higher than the concentrations reported for example from the recent SPARTICUS campaign [Mitchell et al., 2011], but values are very sensitive to the treatment of subgrid-scale vertical velocity (see Section 3). Here, we made the assumption that under unseeded conditions, cirrus clouds form solely through homogeneous ice nucleation. The concentration of solution droplets that could potentially nucleate homogeneously corresponds to the predicted number concentration of particles in the Aitken mode of the MAM3 aerosol module. Based on the number concentration and size of the solution droplets, as well as temperature and vertical velocity, the homogeneous nucleation rate was calculated [Barahona and Nenes, 2008]. The seeding IN were all conservatively
assumed to activate and nucleate ice at a supersaturation of 10% [Mitchell et al., 2011]. We have carried out 20 model simulations, each 10 years of length after a spin-up of 3 months, in which the concentration of seeding IN in the UT ($IN_s$) were varied from 0 to 1500 l$^{-1}$. Note that in the following, cirrus clouds refer to all clouds forming in the UT, which will here correspond to the part of the atmosphere with temperatures lower than -35°C.

3. Results

The delivery method, dispersion and atmospheric fate of the seeding IN are beyond the scope of the present study. Here, we focus on the effect of seeding on cirrus cloud properties and Earth’s energy budget, under the assumption that there exists some means to build up uniform background concentrations of seeding IN in the UT. Figure 2a shows simulated global annual mean vertically integrated ice amount (ice water path, IWP) and high cloud coverage ($CC_{HGH}$), as a function of $IN_s$. For low $IN_s$ concentrations ($< 5$ l$^{-1}$), IWP and $CC_{HGH}$ remain very similar to their values under pure homogeneous freezing, i.e. $IN_s=0$ l$^{-1}$, our reference case (REF). However, for $IN_s$ in the range 5 l$^{-1}$ -100 l$^{-1}$, both are suppressed and ice crystals are 10-20% larger than in the case of pure homogeneous freezing (Fig. 2b). In this $IN_s$ range we also observed a small reduction in liquid water path, due to increased accretion of liquid by falling ice crystals. Finally, for $IN_s >100$ l$^{-1}$, seeding leads to the opposite effect; smaller ice crystals and the consequent increase in IWP and $CC_{HGH}$. From Figure 2, three distinct regimes can be identified: (1) The sub-optimal seeding regime: $IN_s$ is insufficient for suppression of homogeneous nucleation, and the cirrus clouds remain unaffected by the seeding, (2) The optimal seeding regime:
homogeneous nucleation is suppressed, and IN\textsubscript{s} is low enough to reduce ice crystal concentration and increase crystal size, with associated reductions in cirrus cloud amount and coverage, and (3) The over-seeding regime: homogeneous nucleation is suppressed, but more ice crystals nucleate on seeds than would otherwise have nucleated homogeneously in the unseeded case. Table 2 gives approximate IN\textsubscript{s} intervals for these three regimes in our control model set-up (CTL). As a consequence of the increase in ice crystal sizes and decrease in cirrus cloud amount in the optimal seeding regime, cirrus clouds become optically thinner, as illustrated by the reduction in longwave cloud forcing (LWCF), shown in Figure 2c. The reduced LWCF allows for more outgoing longwave radiation at the top-of-the atmosphere (TOA), corresponding to a negative radiative forcing (i.e. cooling) of about 7 Wm\textsuperscript{-2}. This cooling is partly compensated for by a reduction in cirrus cloud albedo and hence the shortwave cloud forcing (SWCF), such that the maximum reduction in the net cloud forcing (NCF) amounts to 2.0Wm\textsuperscript{-2}. While changes in the net shortwave flux at the TOA are very similar to the changes in SWCF, the reduction in UT water vapor in response to the seeding increases the outgoing longwave radiation further by up to 0.5Wm\textsuperscript{-2}, and hence amplifies the cooling. Hence, cirrus cloud seeding could potentially eliminate a forcing equivalent to that which has been causing climate change to date. However, this would require seeding IN concentrations finely tuned to lie exactly in the optimal IN\textsubscript{s} window. While the main perceived risk of under-seeding is a costly, wasted effort, over-seeding could actually lead to the opposite of the desired effect. This is illustrated in Figure 2; IN\textsubscript{s} concentrations larger than 100l\textsuperscript{-1} would lead to an increase in IWP and a decrease in ice crystal sizes relative to the unseeded atmosphere, and hence
a warming rather than a cooling. Based on Figure 2, we have approximated the optimal
INs, INs,o, to 15l⁻¹, and have displayed anomalies in several cirrus cloud properties rela-
tive to REF for INs,o in Figure 3. Evident is the strong reduction in ice crystal number
concentrations in the UT (Fig. 3a), which allows individual ice crystals to grow larger
via vapour deposition (Fig. 3b). The larger ice crystals in turn lead to reduced cloud ice
(Fig. 3c) and cloud coverage (Fig. 3d), as a result of the faster sedimentation of the larger
ice crystals. As expected, the strongest perturbations are found at mid-latitudes, where
cirrus clouds form in situ, rather than in the tropics, where anvil cirrus are produced by
convective outflow.

Several studies have indicated that the relative importance of homogeneous vs. heteroge-
nous ice nucleation is very sensitive to the vertical velocity at the cloud-scale [Kae
ercher and Lohmann, 2003; DeMott et al., 1997]. CESM parameterizes this subgrid-scale updraft
velocity as a single value for each model grid box, proportional to the square root of the
turbulent kinetic energy (TKE), \( W_c = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}TKE} \). We have tested the robustness of our
results to increased/decreased vertical velocities, by repeating the set of INs perturba-
tion simulations, but with \( W_{c} = W_{c,HGH} = \sqrt{\frac{8}{3}TKE} \) and \( W_{c} = W_{c,LOW} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{6}TKE} \),
respectively. Figure 4 shows the change in NCF (relative to REF) as a function of INs for
simulations with \( W_{c}, W_{c,HGH} \) and \( W_{c,LOW} \). Evident from Table 1 is a shift in the optimal
INs interval towards lower (higher) values when \( W_{c} \) is decreased (increased). The mag-
nitude of the cooling is also affected, and becomes smaller (larger) when \( W_{c} \) is decreased
(increased). Higher vertical velocities lead to higher homogeneous nucleation rates, and
hence a stronger perturbation when homogeneous nucleation is suppressed. Higher verti-
cal velocities also require higher IN\textsubscript{s} concentrations in order for homogeneous nucleation to be suppressed. While previous studies of the effect of anthropogenic IN on cirrus have reported a sensitivity to the concentration of solution droplets available for homogeneous nucleation\cite{Penner et al., 2009}, we found minor changes in a simulation reducing the concentration of solution droplets available by 50%.

4. Discussion and outlook

Further investigations of the viability of cirrus seeding as a means of stabilizing Earth’s climate will require simulations of the atmospheric lifetimes of seeding IN, from the point of emission, through potential ice nucleation, and subsequent sedimentation and deposition on Earth’s surface. Laboratory investigations of ice nucleation on BiI\textsubscript{3} are also required to shed further light on the geoengineering process investigated here. The present study has demonstrated that successful cirrus cloud seeding requires seeding IN concentrations that lie in a relatively narrow optimal interval. The bounds of this interval are set by the vertical velocities in the UT, for which only sparse and sporadic measurements exist. A premature implementation of cirrus seeding before knowledge of vertical velocities at cirrus levels is improved could accelerate global warming as opposed to prevent it.
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Table 1. Simulated global and annual mean cloud cover (CC), ice water path (IWP), liquid water path (LWP) and net cloud forcing (NCF) from the standard and modified CAM5.1 (CAM5.1-HOM and CAM5.1-BN09, respectively) as well as from satellite observations (OBS). Observations are taken from a combination of CloudSat and CALIPSO retrievals (CC, IWP and LWP), and from ERBE and CERES (NCF).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CC (%)</th>
<th>IWP (gm⁻²)</th>
<th>LWP (gm⁻²)</th>
<th>NCF (Wm⁻²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAM5.1-HOM</td>
<td>64.3</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>-27.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAM5.1-BN0</td>
<td>68.8</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>-26.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OBS</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>20 to 70</td>
<td>30 to 50</td>
<td>-17.2 to -23.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Approximate sub-optimal, optimal, and over-seeding INs concentrations for the CTL, \( W_{c,LOW} \) and \( W_{c,HGH} \) sets of simulations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CASE</th>
<th>Sub-optimal ( N_s )</th>
<th>Optimal ( N_s )</th>
<th>Over-seeding ( N_s )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CTL</td>
<td>(&lt;5l^{-1})</td>
<td>5-100l^{-1}</td>
<td>(&gt;100l^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( W_{c,LOW} )</td>
<td>(&lt;1l^{-1})</td>
<td>1-25l^{-1}</td>
<td>(&gt;25l^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( W_{c,HGH} )</td>
<td>(&lt;20l^{-1})</td>
<td>20-200l^{-1}</td>
<td>(&gt;200l^{-1})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Conceptual schematic of changes in cirrus cloud properties in response to seeding. Red arrows represent longwave (LW) radiation and blue arrows represent shortwave (SW) radiation. The seeded cirrus clouds on average reflect slightly less SW radiation back to Space, but also allow more LW radiation to escape to Space, and the latter effect dominates.
Figure 2. CESM simulations of macro-physical and radiative properties of high clouds as a function of INs. Each circle corresponds to an individual 2-year CESM simulation. a) High cloud amount (i.e. cloud cover integrated from 400hPa to 50hPa) and vertically integrated ice amount (Ice Water Path, IWP), b) Ice crystal effective radius at 300hPa (red solid line) and 200 hPa (blue solid line), and c) changes in longwave-, shortwave- and net cloud forcing (SWCF, LWCF and NCF, respectively) at the top-of-the-atmosphere (TOA), relative to REF. Solid lines represent moving averages. Error bars represent one standard deviation, calculated from annual averages.
Figure 3. Simulated changes in zonal and annual mean cloud properties induced by a seeding IN concentration of 15l\(^{-1}\) (relative to REF): a) in-cloud ice crystal number concentration, b) ice crystal effective radius, c) ice mass mixing ratio and d) cloud coverage. All plots are based on 10-year model simulations.
Figure 4. Change in the net cloud forcing (NCF) as a function of IN$_s$ at the TOA relative to REF for default, doubled and halved subgrid-scale vertical velocity ($W_c$, $W_{c,HGH}$, $W_{c,LOW}$, respectively).